FILED*06 OCT 20 15:25USDC-ORP

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

STATE OF OREGON,)
Plaintiff,) Civil No. 05-1443-PK (LEAD)
v.	ORDER
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION,)
Defendant.)
LEGAL AID SERVICES OF OREGON, an Oregon non-profit corporation; AARON GUEVARA; JANICE MORGAN; SHARON LEE SCHWARTZ; DONNA SATHER; OREGON LAW CENTER, an Oregon non-profit corporation; DAVID HENRETTY; DIANE SCHWARTZ SYKES; COMMUNITY ALLIANCE OF TENANTS; CAMPAIGN FOR EQUAL JUSTICE, an Oregon non-profit corporation,) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
Plaintiffs,)
v.)
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION,)
Defendants.)

JONES, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Papak filed Findings and Recommendation on July 10, 2006, in the above entitled consolidated cases (# 51). The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiffs, Defendant, and Intervenor have timely filed objections (## 54, 55, 56, and 57).

I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Papak's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#51) dated July 10, 2006, in its entirety. Defendant's and Intervenor's motions to dismiss the claims of plaintiff State of Oregon (## 22, 33) are granted. Defendant's and Intervenor's motions to dismiss the claims of plaintiffs Legal Aid Services of Oregon, et al (## 21, 34), are denied with respect to plaintiffs' as-applied claims and granted with respect to all other claims. Requests for oral argument are denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2006.

ROBEKT E. JOKES

United States District Judge